## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)

On October 4, 2023, the Panel transferred 13 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See \_F.Supp.3d\_ (J.P.M.L. 2023). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Breyer.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 4, 2023, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 13, 2023

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Tiffancy D. Pete

Tiffaney D. Pete Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084

## SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
DC	1	23-02347	CUNNINGHAM v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	23-11859	N.F. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al
MA	1	23-11896	E.D v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al
OHIO SOUTHERN			
OHS	3	23-00198	V.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al